

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Jeff Lawson

DATE:

March 11-1992

FROM:

Michael Moore

FILE:

CC:

Creak:

RE:

Corrections to Barbara Newman's minutes of the February 18 meeting in

addition to Jack Guswa's noted

J. Cherry

J. Guswa & J. Bridge

L. Moore

corrections

- pg. 1 Another monitoring system called out was the long open holes at NEP.
- pg. 2 At the top of the page there was an additional "evaluation of test drawdown result" which was the slow response in the shallow bedrock.
- pg. 2 Last line on that page, I do not recall any problems mentioned concerning Solinst well UC23, but problems with UC9 and UC11 were mentioned.
- pg. 3 The third paragraph states "a deep hydraulic gradient towards NEP-A was indicated from the test results", I assume from UC22 to NEP-A. I do not agree with this statement because a hydraulic gradient toward NEP-A implies groundwater flow toward NEP-A which is not the case and a hydraulic gradient does not exist between NEP-A and UC22. Figure D-D' indicates a hydraulic connection exist between UC22 and NEP-A, which said connection caused pumping influence or drawdown at NEP-A as a result of pumping UC22 at 50 gpm.
- pg. 5 Third paragraph, first line, I understood that "all" EPA/DEP/Ebasco written comments will not be addressed by March 18, 1992. I do not recall that UniFirst agreed to replace UC14 if we could not obtain water-elevation data from all of the ports at UC14. Our proposed tests to obtain water-elevation data from UC14 will be discussed in the March 18 response, but we will not have the results of these tests by that time.



